damages, "the removing defendant bears the burden of establishing, by a preponderance of the 1 evidence, that the amount in controversy exceeds \$[75],000." Sanchez v. Monumental Life Ins. 2 Co., 102 F.3d 398, 404 (9th Cir. 1996). "The court may consider facts in the removal petition and 3 4 may require parties to submit summary-judgment-type evidence relevant to the amount in 5 controversy at the time of removal." Kroske v. U.S. Bank Corp., 432 F.3d 976, 980 (9th Cir. 2006) 6 (internal quotation marks omitted). 7 After review of the complaint and defendants' petition for removal, the court finds it 8 requires more evidence to determine whether it has subject matter jurisdiction over this case. 9 Allstate claims that plaintiff is seeking general and compensatory damages in excess of \$10,000 and punitive damages which has the potential to exceed \$75,000. Doc. #1. However, this statement 10 11 alone is insufficient to establish jurisdiction. Jurisdiction will only exist if defendants can present "summary-judgment-type evidence" to establish by a preponderance of the evidence that this case 12 13 meets § 1332(a)'s amount in controversy requirement. Allstate is therefore granted leave to present 14 evidence in order to establish that this action involves the requisite amount in controversy. 15 16 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that defendant shall have twenty (20) days from the filing of this order to establish the minimum amount in controversy for the exercise of diversity 17 18 jurisdiction. 19 IT IS SO ORDERED. Aldriha 20 DATED this 23rd day of July, 2010. 21 22 23 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 24 25

26